Postal Regulatory Commission Submitted 5/25/2018 11:51:44 AM Filing ID: 104965 Accepted 5/25/2018

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20268-0001

Competitive Product Prices
Global Reseller Expedited Package Contracts 2
(MC2013-51)
Negotiated Service Agreement

Docket No. CP2018-221

PUBLIC REPRESENTATIVE COMMENTS ON POSTAL SERVICE NOTICE OF FILING AN ADDITIONAL GLOBAL RESELLER EXPEDITED PACKAGE 2 NEGOTIATED SERVICE AGREEMENT

(May 25, 2018)

Introduction

The Public Representative hereby provides comments pursuant to the Commission's Notice Initiating Dockets.¹ In that Notice, the Commission established the above referenced docket to receive comments from interested persons, including the undersigned Public Representative, on a Postal Service Notice of filing a functionally equivalent Global Reseller Expedited Package 2 (GREP 2) Negotiated Service Agreement.² Customers for GREP 2 contracts are resellers that market Priority Mail Express International (PMEI), Priority Mail International (PMI) and/or First-Class Package International Service (FCPIS) at discounted prices to their customers, especially small- or medium-sized businesses. *Notice* at 5.

Prices and classifications not of general applicability for GREP contracts were previously established by Governors' Decision No. 10-1, issued March 24, 2010. *Notice* at 1. In Order No. 1746, the Commission designated the agreement that is the subject

¹ Notice Initiating Docket(s) for Recent Postal Service Negotiated Service Agreement Filings, May 18, 2018.

² Notice of United States Postal Service of Filing a Functionally Equivalent Global Reseller Expedited Package 2 Negotiated Service Agreement and Application for Non-Public Treatment of Materials Filed Under Seal. May 17, 2018. (Notice)

of Docket Nos. MC2013-51 and CP2013-64 as the baseline agreement for the GREP 2 product. *Id.* at 3. The contract filed in that Docket serves as the "baseline" agreement for comparison of functional equivalency analysis with respect to future GREP contracts. *Id.*

The Postal Service states that the GREP contract subject to Docket No. CP2018-221 is "functionally equivalent in all pertinent respects" to the contract that this is subject of Docket Nos. MC2013-51 and CP2010-64. *Id* at 4. The Postal Service, therefore, requests that this contract "be added to the GREP 2 product grouping." *Id.* at 4-5.

Discussion

Functional Equivalence. The Postal Service states that the instant contract is "substantially similar to the contract filed in Docket Nos. MC2013-51 and CP2013-64" which serves as the baseline agreement. *Id* at 4. More specifically, the Postal Service asserts that the "functional terms" of the contract "are the same as those of the [baseline] agreement," and that the instant contract "shares the same cost and market characteristics," as well. *Id* at 4.

The Postal Service provides a comprehensive list of the differences between this contract and the contract that is the subject of the baseline dockets including, but not limited to, changes to several Articles, Annexes, and reorganized definitions. *Notice* at 5-9. After reviewing the public and under seal materials, the Public Representative concurs with the Postal Service that these differences do not "affect either the fundamental service…or the fundamental structure of the contract." *Id* at 8.

Requirements of 39 U.S.C. § 3633. Pursuant to section 3633(a), prices for competitive products must cover each product's attributable costs, not result in subsidization of competitive products by market dominant products, and enable competitive products as a whole to contribute an appropriate share to the institutional costs of the Postal Service. In this proceeding, the Postal Service's financial model indicates that the negotiated prices in the instant contract will cover costs, as well as

exceed the minimum cost coverage approved in Governor's Decision No. 10-1. Based

upon a review of that model, it also appears that the negotiated prices satisfy the

requirements of section 3633(a).

The Public Representative has reviewed the Postal Service's Notice, the instant

GREP contract, and the supporting financial model filed under seal that accompanied

the Notice. Based upon that review, the Public Representative recommends the

approval of the instant contract and concludes that the instant contract is functionally

equivalent to the baseline agreement. In addition, it appears the negotiated prices

should generate sufficient revenues to cover costs and satisfy the requirements of 39

U.S.C. 3633(a) and 39 CFR 3015.7(c).

The intended effective date will be June 1, 2018. *Id. at* 3. The Agreement is to

remain in effect for one calendar year from the effective date, or the last day of the

month which falls one calendar year from the effective date, unless terminated sooner.

ld.

The Public Representative respectfully submits the foregoing comments for the

Commission's consideration.

Christopher C. Mohr Public Representative

901 New York Ave., NW Suite 200 Washington, D.C. 20268-0001 202.789.6857 (office) Christopher.Mohr@prc.gov